

Kinetic pathways of multi-phase surfactant systems

M. Buchanan[†], L. Starrs[†], S.U. Egelhaaf and M.E. Cates

Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Edinburgh, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh, UK EH9 3JZ

[†] *Present address: Centre de Recherche Paul-Pascal, CNRS, Avenue du Docteur-Schweitzer, F-33600 Pessac, France*
(February 1, 2008)

The relaxation following a temperature quench of two-phase (L_α and L_3) and three-phase (L_α , L_3 and L_1) samples, has been studied in an SDS/octanol/brine system. In the three-phase case we have observed samples that are initially mainly sponge phase with lamellar and micellar phase on the top and bottom of the sample respectively. Upon decreasing temperature most of the volume of the sponge phase is replaced by lamellar phase. During the equilibration we have observed three regimes of behaviour within the sponge phase: (i) disruption in the L_3 texture, then (ii) after the sponge phase homogenises there is a L_α nucleation regime and finally (iii) a bizarre plume connects the L_α phase with the L_1 phase. The relaxation of the two-phase sample proceeds instead in two stages. First L_α drops nucleate in L_3 forming an onion ‘gel’ structure. Over time the L_α structure compacts while equilibrating into a two phase L_α/L_3 sample. We offer possible explanations for some of these observations in the context of a general theory for phase kinetics in systems with one fast and one slow composition variable.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of nonequilibrium phase behaviour in complex fluid systems provides a new challenge. Specifically, in the case of surfactant systems, relaxation to equilibrium has recently attracted interest [1–7]. For example, this has been studied in phase penetration scan experiments [8–14], and in directional growth studies [15]. In these experiments the evolution of a mesophase is followed in the presence of a concentration or temperature gradient respectively. This may result in unstable interfaces which can lead to spectacular instabilities.

The kinetics of phase behaviour has been well studied in other areas of soft matter physics. For example the nucleation behaviour in colloid-polymer mixtures has been investigated experimentally and theoretically [16–21]. In these systems, three-phase samples consisting of colloidal gas, liquid and crystal, can be prepared at different compositions. In [17,20], three-phase samples were homogenised and observed while equilibrating. By identifying the fast and slow composition variables in the system, and then considering the evolving free-energy landscape for the slow component, restrictions can be placed on the kinetic pathway the system will follow as it evolves towards equilibrium. This free-energy landscape theory [17,21] promises to be a powerful way to predict kinetic pathways, at least in systems where one combination of the composition variables evolves more slowly than the others, so that time scales can be separated. It is used below (Section IV) to give a possible explanation for the observations in surfactant systems that will be presented here (Section III).

In this paper we investigate the temporal evolution of a two-phase system, comprising sponge (L_3) and lamellar (L_α) phase, and a three-phase system, comprising L_3 , L_α and micellar (L_1) phase, in samples that have been subjected to a temperature quench. Using dark-field *macroscopy* (explained below) we have observed a number of interesting nonequilibrium features that occur as the sample progresses to its new equilibrium state. In the two-phase sample we have observed dense nucleation of lamellar phase droplets within L_3 which appear to form a ‘gel’ of droplets that compacts over time. In addition, we present microscopic images of the ‘gel’ formation. In three-phase samples we observed three regimes of behaviour. Initially the sponge phase forms an *emulsion* which proceeds to a homogeneous sponge phase. In the second regime nucleation of lamellar phase in the sponge phase occurs close to the L_3/L_1 interface. The growing droplets rise through the L_3 phase and arrive at the L_3/L_α interface, building up the L_α phase over time. Finally a *plume* pushes through the sponge phase connecting the L_1 and L_α . These results are described in Section III and discussed in Section IV. Section V gives a brief conclusion.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

A. Materials

SDS and octanol (both 99% purity) were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. Samples were prepared by dissolving SDS and octanol in brine (20g/l of NaCl in distilled water) and then tumbled for 2 days and subsequently allowed to equilibrate at a higher temperature of 40°C. Experiments were performed after approximately two weeks, when the samples had reached equilibrium.

B. Dark-field macroscopy

Compared to a direct observation, dark-field macroscopy allows us to obtain better contrast from regions in the sample that scatter light only weakly [22,23]. The scattering of light is caused by refractive index inhomogeneities in the sample which, *e.g.*, result from regions of different concentration. The term *macroscopy* is introduced since the sample is larger than its image, which matches the size of the CCD chip, and therefore the optical setup is designed to *reduce* the image size. We have used dark-field macroscopy to observe samples whose cell dimensions are $4\text{cm} \times 1\text{cm} \times 1\text{cm}$.

The general layout of the dark-field macroscope is similar to a dark-field microscope and shown in figure 1. A white light source and a collimator are used to produce parallel light to illuminate the sample which is placed in the object plane. The unscattered light is focused into the back focal plane of the objective. A beam stop is placed in this plane to block the unscattered light. In the case of no scattering the image is completely dark, hence the name dark-field. If there is scattered light, it is collimated by the objective and focused onto the CCD chip. Therefore, whenever there are refractive index differences in the sample these will appear as bright spots on a dark background. All samples observed are temperature controlled in a heat bath.

C. Microscopy

All microscopy observations were made using an Olympus BX50 microscope in bright-field mode between crossed polarisers with long working distance objectives. The evolution of the samples were recorded using a CCD camera and time lapse video recorder together with a framegrabber PC. The contrast of the presented images has been enhanced by thresholding. All microscopy experiments were done in a Linkam LTS hot-stage which ensured a controlled and stable temperature.

III. RESULTS

We have studied two phase (L_α, L_3) and three-phase (L_α, L_3, L_1) samples upon a large temperature change. Initially the samples are in equilibrium at $T = 40^\circ\text{C}$ where they have been observed for one week and showed no further change. The sample is then placed in the dark-field macroscope which is kept at a temperature of 20°C . Its behaviour upon this temperature quench is then followed. We have studied samples with different bilayer (SDS + octanol) concentrations and octanol/SDS ratios. We will first present the typical behaviour of two phase and three phase samples, before we describe the dependence on octanol/SDS ratio.

A. Two-phase samples

1. Macroscopic observations

Temperature quench experiments on a two-phase sample (L_α, L_3) were performed for an octanol/SDS ratio of 1.36 and a bilayer concentration of 30%. At this composition and at a temperature of 40°C the sample has two phases, L_α at the top and L_3 on the bottom, where the L_3 phase is in abundance ($\sim 95\%$). At lower temperature ($T = 20^\circ\text{C}$) most of the volume ($\sim 70\%$) of the sample is present as L_α phase which replaces L_3 phase.

When the sample is quenched from $T = 40^\circ\text{C}$ to 20°C , we have observed two regimes of behaviour. Almost immediately, ($L_3 + L_\alpha$) nucleates in the L_3 phase and appears to completely fill the sample (figure 2A). Over time this mixed sponge/lamellar phase decreases in volume and the 'pure' sponge phase now reforms at the bottom of the sample (figure 2B). As the volume of ($L_3 + L_\alpha$) decreases there is a noticeable change in its texture. The lower part is rough in texture and separated by an interface from the top part which has a smooth texture (figure 2C). The rough

texture appears to be a gel of L_α droplets in L_3 ; this is confirmed by microscopy observations (see below). Over time the smooth part grows as the rough part shrinks (figure 2C-D). The final state of the sample (not shown) contains only a smooth lamellar phase in peaceful coexistence with the sponge phase where the two phases occupy comparable volumes of the sample.

The same generic behaviour is observed in samples with octanol/SDS ratios up to 1.44 at 30% bilayer concentration. In figure 3 the relaxation to equilibrium observed with these samples is schematically presented.

2. Microscopic observations

To obtain more detailed information, part of the sponge phase was extracted from a two-phase sample. It was subsequently observed with a microscope during a temperature ramp from $T = 40^\circ\text{C}$ to 20°C . Droplets of L_α phase, which have the birefringent ‘Maltese cross’ signature of multilamellar vesicles or onions, are observed to nucleate homogeneously in the L_3 phase. These droplets continue to grow to $10 - 50\mu\text{m}$ over the next 60 minutes (figures 4A-C). However, after 30 minutes the onions start to move towards each other forming an onion ‘gel’ (figure 4D). This structure coarsens over time leaving voids of pure sponge phase (figure 4E-F). As more onions form in the L_3 phase they coalesce. The heterogeneity of the gel is presumably responsible for the rough texture reported above.

Figure 5 shows a close-up of two droplets, with Maltese crosses visible, when observed through partially crossed polars. In this case the two droplets have partially merged. On closer inspection these lamellar droplets have a distinctive pattern of focal conic defects that form within the onion (figure 6A). These defects in the onion are presumably due to an epitaxial tilt of the bilayers at the surface of the droplet, which is surrounded by sponge phase. This has also been observed at the interface between a homeotropic lamellar and sponge phase [24]. The epitaxial tilt is required to match the repeat distance in the lamellar phase onto the characteristic bilayer spacing in the sponge (figure 6B). This observation suggest that, at the observed temperature and time scales, the internal structure of each onion is capable of reorganizing to minimize the interfacial energy of the onion.

B. Three-phase samples

Samples within the three-phase region have been observed with 5% and 10% bilayer concentration over a range of octanol/SDS ratio 1.22 to 1.32. At these compositions and at $T = 40^\circ\text{C}$, all samples consist of three phases: L_α at the top, L_3 in the middle and L_1 on the bottom, where the L_3 phase has the largest volume. At a lower temperature of 20°C most of the volume is occupied by L_α phase with only a small volume of L_3 phase remaining. The L_1 phase is observed to have a slightly larger volume at $T = 20^\circ\text{C}$ than at 40°C . After a temperature quench from $T = 40^\circ\text{C}$ to 20°C the relaxation to equilibrium is found to proceed through three regimes. They are described below for a sample that has a bilayer concentration of 5% and an octanol/SDS ratio of 1.22. Other three-phase samples behave in a similar fashion, although the time constants were found to depend on the actual composition.

1. Early stages

After the temperature quench, the L_3 phase becomes turbid as inhomogeneities form within it (figure 7A). This texture appears to be an emulsion of L_1 droplets separated by L_3 or vice versa. (see Section IV for a discussion). The texture is first seen close to the L_1/L_3 interface, and then spreads up to the L_3/L_α interface while large convection currents are also observed within the L_3 phase.

In addition some large droplets of L_1 fall rapidly from the L_α phase through the inhomogeneous L_3 phase into the L_1 phase (figure 8). These droplets are initially of a diameter of about $90\mu\text{m}$ and fall with speed $\sim 1000\mu\text{ms}^{-1}$. Within 3 hours their size decreases to $\sim 14\mu\text{m}$ and their speed is reduced to $\sim 15\mu\text{ms}^{-1}$. About one hour later the L_3 phase becomes homogeneous once again, by a clearing process which starts close to the L_3/L_α interface. A schematic summary of these features is given in figure 9. These features are typical of samples at 5 – 10% bilayer composition with octanol/SDS ratios 1.22 – 1.32.

2. Lamellar nucleation and growth

After about one hour, droplets of L_α phase of approximately $10 - 20\mu\text{m}$ in size begin to form in the L_3 phase. The number of L_α drops observed increases over the next 30 minutes. While droplets are observed to nucleate throughout

the L_3 phase, this mainly happens near the L_1/L_3 interface (figure 10). The droplets move up towards the L_α phase with a speed of about $4\mu\text{ms}^{-1}$, gaining size as they do so. Over a period of 1 – 2 days they build the L_α phase. The quantity of the L_α phase steadily increases, however after 12 hours the number of droplets within L_3 is observed to have decreased. Eventually after about 36 hours further droplets are not observed to form despite the continuing growth of the lamellar phase. These findings are summarized schematically in Fig. 11.

At larger bilayer concentrations (30 – 40%) we have observed a much larger density of lamellar droplets in the L_3 phase. At a much larger octanol/SDS ratio of 1.35 and 1.41 the droplets that form are very dense. Furthermore, by increasing the octanol/SDS ratio, we have observed a noticeable change in the dynamics. At higher octanol/SDS ratio we observe an increase in the rate of formation of the L_α droplets and the speed of the droplets as they move towards the L_α phase.

3. The plume

After 36 hours the L_α droplets are no longer observed to nucleate in the L_3 phase. However, the L_α phase still continues to grow. Eventually at some later time a plume grows from the L_1 phase and extends up to the L_α phase (figure 12).

Prior to this, in the L_1 phase small droplets of L_α phase are observed to move up towards the L_3/L_1 interface and eventually push their way through the L_3 phase by forming the plume. The plume connects the L_1 phase to the L_α phase cutting straight through the L_3 phase. It is about 50 – 100 μm in diameter. On the plume near the L_1/L_3 interface a large bulbous piece with a diameter of about 100 μm can be observed. We followed the movement of this over the next 12 hours. Initially the bulbous piece rises up toward the L_α/L_3 interface (figure 12B-C). Then it begins to move back towards the other interface (figure 12C-E). Eventually the plume detaches from the L_3/L_1 interface and the whole plume is ‘pulled’ up into the L_α phase (figure 12E-G) while the bulbous bit remains at the loose end of the plume. The only way to describe the motion of the plume is that it behaves in a ‘lava-lamp’ like fashion.

The occurrence of the plume is a reproducible effect and has been seen in many separate experiments. However, in the range of samples we have observed we only find plumes when there is L_1 phase present. Depending on the composition we can even observe multiple plumes forming. The plumes are observed late in the lamellar nucleation regime. However, at larger octanol/SDS ratios plumes form earlier in the L_α nucleation regime. In addition, we have observed streaks in the L_3 phase at the L_α interface, which persist for the remaining duration of the growth of L_α . They are found during and after the formation of the plume.

4. Octanol/SDS dependence

As already mentioned in section III B 2, a higher octanol/SDS ratio also results in an increase in the rate of formation of the L_α droplets and the speed of the droplets as they move towards the L_α phase. In addition, the density of droplets is increased upon an increase in the octanol/SDS ratio. By varying the octanol content in the bilayer we influence the time scale of each regime that is observed. The initial regime, where we have observed the transient formation of a sponge emulsion, appears to persist for a shorter period of time as we increase the octanol/SDS ratio.

This is also the case for the second regime of lamellar nucleation and growth. Furthermore the nucleation regime starts earlier for samples with higher octanol content so that these regimes overlap. This is also the case for the observation of the plume which can be observed earlier at higher octanol/SDS ratio. Figure 13 gives a semi-quantitative diagram of these observations.

IV. DISCUSSION

An initially equilibrated sample that is subjected to a sudden temperature change will relax to a new equilibrium state. In three- and two-phase surfactant samples we have observed interesting behaviour as the samples proceed to this final equilibrium state. Below we discuss these observations first for the three- and then for the two-phase samples. This will be followed by some possible explanations for the observed behaviour.

A. Early stages

When the three phase sample is quenched from $T = 40^\circ\text{C}$ to 20°C the L_1 phase volume is found to increase during the early stages. This indicates that during the quench the majority phase, L_3 , increases its bilayer concentration with a concomitant reduction in its bilayer spacing, expelling L_1 . This would explain the formation of a ‘sponge emulsion’, which appears as an inhomogeneous texture (figure 7). A minority of L_1 phase in sponge phase has previously been observed in other experiments [25,26]. Furthermore, the subsequent separation of L_1 and L_3 phase may lead to the observed convection currents. The sample begins to homogenise again as the compositions reorganise into a more favourable state. The homogenisation of the L_3 phase is found to start at the L_α phase and moves towards the L_1 phase. This is not surprising, since the L_1 phase has to drain through the sponge emulsion during the homogenisation process (figure 7).

A similar decrease in bilayer spacing is also expected for the L_α phase. Consequently the bilayer concentration will increase and excess brine will be expelled, possibly together with a low concentration of surfactant and cosurfactant. It seems conceivable that this leads to the formation of droplets of L_1 within L_α , which are then observed to fall through the L_3 phase (figure 8). As the equilibrium bilayer concentration is approached, the L_1 flux is reduced. This is reflected in the reduction of the L_1 droplet size and consequently leads to a reduction in the speed of the droplets.

By expelling excess brine, both the L_3 and L_α phase increase their bilayer concentration. The decrease in undulation repulsions between layers as a result of the lowered temperature seems likely to be responsible for this behaviour [27]. This change in bilayer concentration occurs during the early stages and appears to be much faster than reorganisation within the bilayer. The slower intra-bilayer reorganisation is thus expected to influence the behaviour during the subsequent stages.

B. Lamellar nucleation and growth

After the early stage described above (and sometimes overlapping with it – see figure 13), lamellar phase is found to nucleate and grow within L_3 at the expense of sponge phase. One of the most striking features of this process is that the nucleation of the L_α phase in the L_3 phase is not homogeneous throughout the L_3 phase, but is most abundant close to the L_1/L_3 interface. It seems peculiar that such nucleation should occur in the part of the L_3 region *furthest* from the existing slab of L_α phase. A possible explanation for this can be found by analysing the way the free energy landscape changes after the temperature jump [17,21].

First let us consider a three phase sample (L_1, L_3, L_α) at equilibrium. To our knowledge there is no published phase diagram for this system that would show the phase boundaries close to the three-phase region. However, from our experience with these samples we present a schematic phase diagram of this region as a function of the volume fraction of SDS ϕ_{SDS} and octanol ϕ_{oct} (figure 14).

Figure 15 gives a schematic free energy landscape that is consistent with the observed phase diagram of the system. The free energy of the sample in equilibrium is a function of the volume fractions of two independent components, SDS and octanol.

At equilibrium the chemical potentials ($\mu_{\text{SDS},\text{oct}} = \partial f / \partial \phi_{\text{SDS},\text{oct}}$) of each component must be equal in all of the coexisting phases, $\mu_{\text{SDS},\text{oct}}^{L_1} = \mu_{\text{SDS},\text{oct}}^{L_3} = \mu_{\text{SDS},\text{oct}}^{L_\alpha}$. The same also applies to the osmotic pressure of each phase. This means that the tangents (shaded plane, Fig. 15) to the free energy ‘wells’ at the equilibrium compositions will all be the same. The points of tangency will correspond to the corners of the three-phase triangle on the phase diagram (figure 14).

Note that this analysis is invariant under arbitrary linear combination of the composition variables. Here we will choose linear combinations ϕ_f, ϕ_s as indicated in figure 14. (These are related to the volume fraction of bilayer and the composition of the bilayer, respectively, as we discuss below.) With these as the densities, the phase diagram looks like figure 16.

Now we ask: How does a three-phase sample (marked in figure 16 by ‘•’) change upon a temperature quench? Suppose at a given temperature its composition is close to the L_3 corner of the three-phase triangle (gray area in figure 16). It thus mainly consists of L_3 , but also contains small amounts of L_α and L_1 . Upon a change in temperature the free energy landscape rearranges such that the free energy minima move to new positions. This changes the position of the three phase triangle (dashed lines in figure 16). Although the chemical composition of the sample remains constant, its relative position in the three-phase region is changed. In the case shown, it is now located much closer to the L_α corner and also moved towards the L_1 corner. The sample is thus forced to change its compositions locally and nucleate L_α and L_1 at the expense of L_3 . This is what happens in our three-phase samples when we quench from 40°C to 20°C .

Following reference [17], a quasi-equilibrium free energy landscape can be constructed after the temperature quench if fast and slow composition variables can be identified. Application of this *free energy landscape* analysis to our system depends on the relation between the fast and slow components (ϕ_f, ϕ_s) and the volume fractions of octanol (ϕ_{oct}) and SDS (ϕ_{SDS}). Our observations indicate that changes in bilayer concentration occur during the early stages and can thus be identified with the fast component. In contrast, changes in the organisation of the bilayer such as the transition from L_3 to L_α are found to happen later. From the phase diagram (figure 14) it is clear that this L_3 - L_α transition must involve changes in the bilayer composition (at nearly constant bilayer fraction). This is also supported by our separate observations of contact experiments between lamellar phase and water, where myelin patterns form [26,28].

Immediately after contact the myelin formation is always observed before the formation of any other intermediate phase, such as L_3 phase. Thus the time for bilayers to swell to the miscibility gap is faster than the formation of sponge phase which requires local reorganisation in the bilayer structure, and also a change in its composition.

We therefore assume that, starting from a given initial state, changes in volume fraction of the fast component ϕ_f correspond to changes in total bilayer concentration at fixed SDS/octanol ratio. (This is expected to be a fast, ‘collective diffusion’ mode.) We also assume that the slow component corresponds to changes in SDS/octanol ratio at roughly fixed bilayer volume fraction. This second assumption is physically reasonable, but less obvious: formally, the fast and slow directions are eigenvectors of a diffusion matrix, which need not be symmetric, and they need not be at rightangles. However, if we make both assumptions, the fast and slow direction correspond to radial and tangential directions in figure 14, which is probably a good enough approximation for the present discussion. For a state near the L_3 corner initially, these are as indicated in the figure.

For the fast component, the chemical potential $\mu_f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi_f}$ will become uniform rapidly. Essentially, we move to an equilibrium state through a sequence of metastable states, for which the chemical potential of the fast component μ_f is uniform. However, the value of μ_f is evolving in time and depends on the (nonuniform) evolution of the slow variable ϕ_s . Instead of drawing the free energy landscape as a function of two components (figure 15) we now concentrate on the slow variable ϕ_s only. (This defines a free energy $f(\phi_s, \mu_f)$, as a function of ϕ_s in a semi-grand ensemble, under conditions of uniform, but time dependent, μ_f .) Figure 17A shows the initial (equilibrium) free energy landscape at high temperature as a function of the slow composition variable. After a temperature quench the three minima do not have a common tangent (figure 17B) since μ_f has not yet relaxed to its equilibrium value and the initial condition now represents a metastable state. In this diagram we may assume that the free energy curve of L_3 phase lies higher than the common tangent of the other two so as to favour producing more L_1 and L_α phase. (If the free energy minimum of L_3 phase is below the tangent of the other two then no nucleation will occur. In fact, this would be the case where the change in phase diagram is in the opposite direction to the one shown in figure 16.) Once the sample reaches equilibrium at the lower temperature, the three minima again have a common tangent (a situation similar to figure 17A is recovered).

The local composition of the phases near their interfaces with one another can be determined from the *metastable common tangents* to the free energy landscape (figure 17B); the compositions at each end of a tangent have the same chemical potential μ_s of the slow component which is the condition for *local* equilibrium of the interface. From these tangents, the local composition of the L_3 phase near to its interfaces can be determined. The L_3 phase will reorganise to have two different compositions, $\phi_s = \phi_1$ near the L_α/L_3 (upper) interface and $\phi_s = \phi_2$ near the L_3/L_1 (lower) interface. The L_3 phase thus has a higher bilayer volume fraction nearer the L_3/L_1 interface. The resulting composition profile as a function of sample height z is shown in figure 18. Since the nucleation of the phases L_α and L_1 will be induced by composition fluctuations in L_3 phase, L_α is more likely to nucleate close to the L_3/L_1 interface with its higher volume fraction. The difference in concentrations at the two interfaces requires a concentration gradient across the L_3 phase. Since $\phi_1 < \phi_2$ then the upper part of the phase has higher mass density than the lower part. (This could cause the system to become unstable under gravity.) The concentration gradient as well as gravity favours driving the L_α droplets up towards the L_α phase.

Note that these features directly depend on the presence of the L_1 phase which fixes $\phi_s = \phi_2$ at the bottom of the sponge phase. Also, the inversion of the concentration profile predicted by this model follows directly from the fact that the homogeneous L_3 region in the initial state is metastable: its common tangents to L_1 and L_α cross each other (figure 17B).

C. The plume

The formation of a plume which extends from the L_1/L_3 interface into the L_α phase appears to result from the nucleation of lamellar phase in the L_1 phase. The formed lamellar phase might build up at the L_1/L_3 interface, because the density difference between L_α and L_3 is very small and the surface tension between L_α and L_3 is large.

Eventually the L_α phase formed in the L_1 phase pushes through the sponge phase in a ‘lava-lamp’ like fashion.

D. Comparison of two- and three-phase samples

The absence of the L_1 phase leads to a clearly different relaxation behaviour of the two-phase samples, although for both kinds of samples the main change upon a temperature quench from $T = 40^\circ\text{C}$ to 20°C is the formation of L_α at the expense of L_3 phase. There is no indication for the formation of a (metastable) L_1 phase within the L_α or L_3 phase during the early stages as observed for the three-phase samples. This could, however, be due to the large octanol/SDS ratio of the two-phase samples, which leads to an overlap of the early stages and the lamellar nucleation in the case of the three phase sample (figure 13) and could mask the (intermediate) formation of L_1 .

In the case of the two-phase samples, the nucleation of L_α occurs homogeneously throughout all of the L_3 phase. Since only one interface (L_α/L_3) is present, the L_3 phase cannot develop a density inversion which could influence the local nucleation rate. The quantity of nucleating L_α is large due to the relatively high bilayer concentration (30%). The nucleated L_α droplets (onions) appear to form a dense network in the L_3 phase (figure 4), similar to a colloidal gel structure. This is followed by a compaction process, which could be viewed as the drainage and collapse of a ‘gel’ of L_α droplets in the L_3 phase. (For analogous phenomena in colloids see [23,22].) Due to the lower mass density of the L_α phase, the onion ‘gel’ collapses towards the upper phase boundary of the L_3 phase. This results in the observed smooth texture above the still uncompacted onion gel in the L_3 phase with its rough texture (figures 2 and 3). This is reminiscent of the creaming of emulsions. The final equilibrium state of the two-phase samples consists of a completely compacted lamellar phase and ‘pure’ L_3 phase. Since there is no L_1 phase present, it is no surprise that the plume has not been observed in two-phase samples.

E. Other features

We have performed a small number of experiments in which the temperature quench was reversed before the reorganization of the phases was complete. During an *increase* in temperature, we have observed *smectic bubbles* in the L_3 phase. These are L_α films which contain focal conic defects (figure 19). Each bubble once contained a lamellar onion droplet suspended in the L_3 phase. On raising the temperature, the central region melts and we observe changes in the birefringence of the bubble.

Eventually the bubble rapidly shrinks down into a small lamellar droplet (figure 20). In some cases we observe the bubble to rapidly collapse and then completely vanish after it bursts. The kinetic behaviour of such processes remains to be fully investigated.

V. CONCLUSION

Temperature quench experiments in surfactant systems have led to a variety of interesting observations. In the initial stages after the temperature quench, disruption of the L_3 phase occurs and L_1 droplets are found to move from the L_α through the L_3 to the L_1 phase. This is attributed to a sudden reduction in the bilayer concentration which causes the L_3 and L_α phase to expel solvent forming a ‘sponge emulsion’, and a suspension of L_1 droplets, respectively. This is only observed in the first few hours after the quench. After this rapid equilibration of the bilayer concentration, we observe the formation of lamellar droplets in the sponge phase close to the L_1/L_3 interface. This observation can be explained in terms of the evolution through a free energy landscape. As the system proceeds to equilibrium it goes through a series of metastable states. Under such conditions the bilayer concentration at the L_1/L_3 interface can be larger than at the L_α/L_3 interface. In this case the sponge phase is more likely to nucleate lamellar phase droplets close to the micellar/sponge interface. However until we can directly probe the density of the sponge phase as a function of sample height during this process this explanation remains speculative, and the inverted density profile of figure 18 remains a conjecture.

At a later stage we observe a plume to form at the L_1/L_3 interface which connects up to the L_α phase. This may be due to the nucleation of lamellar phase droplets in the micellar phase, L_1 which get ‘stuck’ when they reach the sponge phase interface. If the surface tension of the L_α/L_3 interface is large then the lamellar phase will build up until there is enough to break through. The break through is driven by gravity as in a lava-lamp.

In two-phase samples which were prepared at a larger bilayer concentration we have observed different features. The absence of the third phase (L_1) means we do not observe the nucleation at the bottom of the cell or the formation of the plume. This gives weight to the free-energy landscape explanation of the nucleation kinetics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to P. Garrett, D. Roux, J. Leng, G. Tiddy, J. Walsh and P. Warren for useful discussions. LS thanks the Institute of Food Research for a CASE award. MB thanks Unilever PLC and EPSRC (UK) for a CASE award. Work funded in part under EPSRC Grant GR/K59606.

-
- [1] S. Egelhaaf, Current opinion in Colloid and Interface Science **3**, 608 (1998).
 - [2] S. Egelhaaf and P. Schurtenberger, Phys.Rev.Lett. **82**, 2804 (1999).
 - [3] J. Morris, U. Olsson, and H. Wennerström, Langmuir **13**, 606 (1997).
 - [4] S. Egelhaaf *et al.*, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 5681 (1999).
 - [5] D. Siegel and R. Eppand, Biophys.J. **73**, 3089 (1997).
 - [6] J. Vollmer and D. Vollmer, Faraday Discussions **112**, 51 (1999).
 - [7] D. Vollmer and J. Vollmer, Physica A **249**, 307 (1998).
 - [8] R. Virchow, Virchow's Archive **6**, 562 (1854).
 - [9] R. Laughlin, *The Aqueous Phase Behaviour of Surfactants*. (Academic Press, 1994).
 - [10] A. Sein, Ph.D. thesis, University of Groningen, 1995.
 - [11] I. Sakurai, T. Suzuki, and S. Sakurai, Biochim. Biophys. Acta **985**, 101 (1989).
 - [12] I. Sakurai and Y. Kawamura, Biochim. Biophys. Acta **777**, 347 (1984).
 - [13] K. Mishima and K. Yoshiyama, Biochim. Biophys. Acta **904**, 149 (1987).
 - [14] I. Sakurai, T. Suzuki, and S. Sakurai, Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals **180**, 305 (1990).
 - [15] L. Sallen, P. Oswald, and P. Sotta, J. Phys. II France **7**, 107 (1997).
 - [16] R. Evans and W. Poon, Phys. Rev. E **56**, 5748 (1997).
 - [17] W. Poon *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1239 (1999).
 - [18] R. Evans, W. Poon, and M. Cates, Europhys. Lett. **38**, 595 (1997).
 - [19] R. Evans, W. Poon, and M. Cates, Il Nuovo Cimento **20**, 2155 (1998).
 - [20] F. Renth, W. Poon, and R. Evans, , in preparation.
 - [21] R. Evans, W. Poon, and F. Renth, , in preparation.
 - [22] L. Starrs, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Edinburgh, 1999.
 - [23] W. Poon *et al.*, Faraday Discuss. 143 (1999).
 - [24] C. Blanc and M. Kleman, Eur. Phys. J. B **10**, 53 (1999).
 - [25] R. Strey *et al.*, *Structure and Dynamics of Strongly Interacting Colloids and Supramolecular Aggregates in Solution* (Kluwer Academic Publishers, ADDRESS, 1992), pp. 351 – 363.
 - [26] M. Buchanan, J. Arrault, and M. Cates, Langmuir **14**, 7371 (1998).
 - [27] W. Z. Helfrich, Naturforsch **33a**, 305 (1978).
 - [28] M. Buchanan, S. Egelhaaf, and M. Cates, Langmuir **16**, 3718 (2000).

FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of dark-field macroscopy.

FIG. 2. Temperature quench from $T = 40^\circ\text{C}$ to 20°C of a two phase sample. (A) Immediately after the temperature quench a mixture of L_3 and L_α phase appears to completely fill the sample. (B) Its volume begins to decrease and 'pure' sponge phase is observed at the bottom of the cell. (C-D) Two textures of lamellar phase are observed: rough (middle) and smooth (top). The smooth part increases in volume as the rough part decrease over time. (Times displayed in minutes.)

FIG. 3. Schematic temporal profile of lamellar (L_α) and sponge (L_3) phases in a two phase sample. The $L_\alpha/(L_\alpha + L_3)$ interface (filled squares) is determined by the difference in smooth and rough texture. Likewise the $(L_\alpha + L_3)/L_3$ interface is marked by '•'.

FIG. 4. Micrographs of the nucleation and gelation of droplets of L_α (onions) in the L_3 phase. (A-C) Onions nucleate homogeneously in the L_3 phase and then proceed to grow. (D-F) Subsequently onions move towards each other forming a "gel" network of onions; voids of L_3 phase can be clearly observed. All images viewed through crossed polars. (Times shown in minutes.)

FIG. 5. Onions that have nucleated in L_3 phase after a temperature quench and partially coalesced. Note the distinctive pattern of focal conic defects.

FIG. 6. (A) Schematic diagram of an onion in L_3 phase where focal conic defects form in the onion to satisfy an epitaxial tilt at the L_α/L_3 interface, as shown in (B).

FIG. 7. Initial stages of a temperature quench on a three-phase sample. At the top L_α phase (appears dark here), L_3 phase in the middle and L_1 phase at the bottom (appears dark). (A) Disruption appears immediately after the quench (B-C) which clears over one hour period. Times shown in minutes.

FIG. 8. Droplets of L_1 phase (indicated by arrows) nucleate in the L_α phase and fall through the L_3 phase. The L_α phase is on top of the L_3 phase and not shown in this closeup. (Times shown in minutes.)

FIG. 9. Temporal evolution of the sample, in particular the sponge emulsion, during the early stages. This sample contains a higher bilayer concentration of 8% than the samples in figures 7 and 8; while the generic behaviour is the same, the observed kinetics are slower. The sponge emulsion texture is shown as the shaded region in the diagram. The error bar indicates the width of the interface.

FIG. 10. Birefringent droplets form in the sponge phase, L_3 (middle) as the lamellar phase, L_α (top) grows. (A-B) The initial sample settled in a homogeneous phase. (C-F) The L_α droplets nucleate in abundance but mainly near the lower (L_1/L_3) interface. The droplets rise to the L_3/L_α interface, building the L_α phase. (G-H) L_α drop nucleation slows down and eventually stops although the lamellar phase continues to grow. Times shown in minutes.

FIG. 11. Temporal evolution of the density of lamellar phase droplets in the sponge phase. The positions of the L_1/L_3 and L_3/L_α interfaces are given ($\square$). Freshly nucleated droplets ($\bullet$) are observed to mainly nucleate near the L_1/L_3 interface. As droplets grow and move towards the L_α phase their density ($\bullet$) appears to be fairly uniform.

FIG. 12. Bizarre plume forms at the L_1/L_3 phase interface and grows up to the lamellar phase. Times shown in hours.

FIG. 13. Dependence of the temporal evolution of the different regimes on the octanol/SDS ratio. The duration and onset time of these features is also dependent on this ratio. The total bilayer concentration is 10%.

FIG. 14. Schematic phase diagram of the SDS/octanol/brine system close to the three-phase region consisting of lamellar (L_α), sponge (L_3) and micellar (L_1) phase. The direction of increasing bilayer volume fraction ϕ_f and relative amount of octanol in the bilayer ϕ_s are also shown.

FIG. 15. Free energy landscape for an equilibrated two-component system ($f(\phi_{\text{oct}}, \phi_{\text{SDS}})$) under conditions where three phases exist. The shaded plane represents the tangent plane.

FIG. 16. Schematic phase diagram close to the three-phase region for two different temperatures. The solid lines and the gray area represent the three-phase region at high temperature. Upon a decrease in temperature the three phase region moves to the position indicated by the dashed lines. Phases denoted are micellar (L_1), sponge (L_3) and lamellar (L_α) phase. The composition of the sample discussed in the text is indicated by ' $\bullet$ '.

FIG. 17. Free energy landscape of a three phase sample (A) in equilibrium at high temperature, (B) during relaxation to a new equilibrium after a temperature quench

FIG. 18. Schematic diagram of a three-phase sample showing the nucleation of L_α phase in L_3 phase near to the L_1/L_3 interface and its composition profile as a function of sample height z as deduced from the free energy landscape as a function of the slow component ϕ_s .

FIG. 19. After an increase in temperature smectic bubbles are observed to form in L_3 phase.

FIG. 20. One of the smectic bubbles ‘bursts’ and collapses rapidly to a point (over a period of about 1s).

This figure "figure1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure5.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure6.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure7.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure8.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure9.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure10.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure11.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure12.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure13.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure14.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure15.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure16.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure17.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure18.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure19.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>

This figure "figure20.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0009300v1>